

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/316,580	LINN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven Loke	2811	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowance

 (1) Steven Loke.

(3) _____.

 (2) Scott V. Lundberg.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 February 2005
Time: 11:00am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

7, 8

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, ~~since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues.~~ A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since the amendment filed on 5/20/04 is a response of the Ex Parte Quayle action, the amendment filed on 5/20/04 is an amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 instead of 37 C.F.R. § 1.312. The examiner also discussed the changes of claims 7 and 8 with the applicants' representative.